

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,481	11/01/2001	Julian A. Blair	263742002300	6575
25226	7590 06/03/2003			
MORRISO 755 PAGE M	N & FOERSTER LLP		EXAMINER	
PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018			KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	1
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	H

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
055	10/004,481	BLAIR, JULIAN A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	nication appears on the c ver sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply - Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ICATION. i of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a in nunication. iii) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thind attutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will by statute, cause the application to become As	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on	
	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
	for allowance except for formal mat	Horo proposition on to the control
	tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the a	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	e withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-8,13-15 and 17</u> is/are re	ejected.	
7) Claim(s) <u>2,9-12,16 18-20</u> is/are object	cted to.	
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers	·	
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by th	e Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing correction filed		sapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are requ		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim f	for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:		
 Certified copies of the priority d 	ocuments have been received.	
Certified copies of the priority de	ocuments have been received in Ap	plication No
Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority documents have been re	eceived in this National Stage
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for		
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for Attachment(s)	uage provisional application has bee	en received
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper	0-948) 5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No. 4

Application/Control Number: 10/004,481

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Copies of the documents listed in the information disclosure statement (paper 3) were not available at the time of examination. However, US and Foreign patent documents were obtained from the PTO database. Non-patent literature documents that were available in the PTO library were considered. Applicant is requested to submit non-patent literature documents not initialed for consideration if necessary.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: The specification is either missing Example 2 or Example 3 has to be renumbered as Example 2.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 13, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 13(b) recites, "processing the substituted carbohydrate". Without the recitation of the process steps it is not clear what processing means.

In Claim 14 it is not clear what quenching means.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,481

Art Unit: 1623

÷

In Claim 15 it is not clear what "dissolving at least one of the substituted carbohydrate" means. The recitation conveys the meaning that more than one substituted carbohydrate is present. Clarification is needed.

Claims that depend from rejected base claims which are indefinite are also rendered indefinite.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 3-8 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-6 of U.S. Patent No. 6352722 ('722). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because Instant claim recites a composition comprising a substituted carbohydrate according to claims 1, 2or 3 and a substance capable of being released from the composition with some specific carbohydrates listed in claims 3. Instant Claims 5-8 recite a composition according to instant claim 4 or 5 containing further limitations including the carbohydrate being in the form of a matrix with the substance incorporated, the composition comprising physiologically acceptable

Art Unit: 1623

, æ

glass forming substances and various delivery systems. These limitations are all seen in claims 1-6 of the '722 patent.

It would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that the composition claimed in the '722 patent and the instant application are substantially overlapping. The composition of the instant invention must contain new and distinguishable measures over the '722 patent to be patentably distinct.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Chortyk by (WO 96/01832).

Chortyk discloses a disaccharide of instant formula 2 where R groups are acyl groups having six to twelve carbon atoms (see structure on page 6).

Conclusion

Claims 1, 3-8, 13, 14, 15 and 17 are rejected.

Claims 2, 9-12, 16, 18-20 are objected to since they are dependent on rejected base claims.

Art Unit: 1623

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ganapathy Krishnan whose telephone number is 703-305-4837. The examiner can normally be reached on 8.30am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O. Wilson can be reached on 703-308-4624. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3014 for regular communications and 703-305-3014 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

GK May 30, 2003

SAMUEL BARTS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
GROUP 1200